



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,786	07/13/2001	Christian Willibald Bohm	APD1529	4008

7590 11/10/2003

Matthew E. Connors  
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens, LLP  
Suite 3300  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, MA 02110

EXAMINER

TRAN, TRANG U

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

14

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/905,786

Applicant(s)

BOHM ET AL.

Examiner

Trang U. Tran

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 17-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 09, 2003 has been entered.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 17-20, 22-25 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hebbalalu et al. (US Patent No. 6,130,719).

In considering claim 17, Hebbalalu et al discloses all claimed subject matter, note the claimed a shape detector for processing samples of an input signal having a

Art Unit: 2614

synchronization pulse and a plurality of non-synchronization pluses to determine whether such samples have a predetermined sequence; said predetermined sequence being a first, non-time varying portion, followed by a first, time-varying portion, followed by a second, non-time varying portion, followed by a second, time varying portion followed by a third, non-time varying portion, one of the first and second, time varying portion having a positive slope and the other one of the first and second, time varying portion having a negative slope is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56).

In considering claim 18, the claimed wherein said shape detector produces a pulse when said predetermined sequence is detected is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56).

In considering claim 19, Hebbalalu et al discloses all claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a shape detector for processing samples of an input signal having a series of synchronization pulses and a plurality of non-synchronization pluses to determine whether such samples have a predetermined sequence; said predetermined

Art Unit: 2614

sequence being a first, non-time varying portion, followed by a first, time-varying portion, followed by a second, non-time varying portion, followed by a second, time varying portion followed by a third, non-time varying portion, one of the first and second, time varying portion having a positive slope and the other one of the first and second, time varying portion having a negative slope, said shape detector producing a shape detection pulse each time said predetermined sequence is detected is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56), 2) the claimed an evaluator responsive to the produced shape pulse detection pulses for determining whether such shape detection pulses are produced at a predetermined rate expected for the series of synchronization pulses is met by the digital circuit 230 which includes the pulse type detection circuit 620 receives the output of operational amplifier 250 and determines whether a valid pulse is present (Fig. 6, col. 5, line 58 to col. 7, line 12).

In considering claim 20, Hebbalalu et al discloses all claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a shape detector for processing samples of an input signal having a series of synchronization pulses and a plurality of non-synchronization pulses, each one of said synchronization pulses preceding a segment of the input signal having non-synchronization pulses, to determined whether such samples have a predetermined; said predetermined sequence being a first, non-time varying portion, followed by a first,

Art Unit: 2614

time-varying portion, followed by a second, non-time varying portion, followed by a second, time varying portion followed by a third, non-time varying portion, one of the first and second, time varying portion having a positive slope and the other one of the first and second, time varying portion having a negative slope, said shape detector producing a shape detection pulse and an associated value for the second, non-time varying portion each time said predetermined sequence is detected is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56), 2) the claimed an evaluator responsive to the produced shape detection pulses and said associated values of said second, non-time varying portions for determining whether one of said associated values of said produced second, non-time varying portions is substantially higher, lower, or the same as a reference value derived from a previous segment of the input signal is met by the biasing circuit 220 which operates to bias the composite video signal received on line 101 to a higher or lower level as determined by digital circuit 230 and the D.C. voltage level can be used for such biasing (Figs. 2, 4 and 6, col. 4, line 24 to col. 5, line 56 and col. 6, line 31 col. 7, line 12).

Claim 22 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 19.

In considering claim 23, Hebbalalu et al discloses all claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed determining, time varying properties of an input signal having the

synchronization pulse is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56), 2) the claimed comparing the determined, time varying properties with time varying properties expected of the synchronization pulse, and producing, based on the comparison, an output signal indicative of the detection of the synchronization pulse is met by the digital circuit 230 which includes the pulse type detection circuit 620 receives the output of operational amplifier 250 and determines whether a valid pulse is present (Fig. 6, col. 5, line 58 to col. 7, line 12).

Claim 24 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 23.

Claim 25 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 23.

Claim 27 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 13.

In considering claim 28, Hebbalalu et al. discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed an detector responsive to samples of the input signal for separating substantially an non-time varying portion of the input signal from a substantially time varying portion of the input signal is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56), 2) the claimed a timer for determining the time duration of one of the portions, and the claimed a processor for detecting the synchronization pulse in response to the determined time duration is met by the control circuit which measures the length of duration in terms of

Art Unit: 2614

number of clock ticks and set boundary register 610 which control the operation of the clamp state machine 650, for example, the maximum and minimum number of clock ticks representing the duration of a valid HSYNC pulse (Fig. 6, col. 5, line 58 to col. 7, line 12).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hebbalalu et al (US. Patent No. 6,130,719) in view of Narusawa (US. Patent No. 4,792,852).

In considering claim 21, Hebbalalu et al discloses all the features of the instant invention as discussed in claim 20 above except for providing the claimed wherein said



evaluator includes a time window responsive to the produced shape detection pulses for determining whether said shape detection pulses are produced at a predetermined rate expected for the series of synchronization pulses. Narusawa teaches vertical synchronizing signal detection circuit having a time window responsive to the produced pulses for determining whether such shape detection pulses are produced at a predetermined rate expected for the series of synchronization pulses (Fig. 1, a window establishing circuit 14, col. 3, lines 18-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the 455 counter 16, the window establishing circuit 14, and a horizontal synchronizing signal compensation circuit 18 of Narusawa into Hebbalalu et al's system in order to accurately detect the horizontal synchronizing signal (see col. 1, line 63 to col. 2, line 3 of Narusawa).

In considering claim 26, Hebbalalu et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed determining, time varying properties of each of the sequence of input signals to identify one of the portions of such one of the input signals is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56), 2) the claimed comparing the determined, time varying properties with time varying properties expected of the one identified one of portions of the synchronization pulse is met by the clamping circuit 120 which recovers the synchronization signals, Fig. 3A is a graph illustrating composite video signal 310 and ideal slicing 311 which would generate composite (including both HSYNC and VSYNC) synchronization signal 312 and the desired composite synchronization signal is represented as target signal 319 (Figs. 2-5, col. 4, line 9 to col. 5, line 56), and 3) the

Art Unit: 2614

claimed producing, based on the comparison, output signals indicative of the detection of the synchronization pulses of the sequence of input signals is met by the digital circuit 230 which includes the pulse type detection circuit 620 receives the output of operational amplifier 250 and determines whether a valid pulse is present (Fig. 6, col. 5, line 58 to col. 7, line 12). Hebbalalu et al explicitly does not disclose the claimed comparing rate of production of the output pulses with the predetermined rate of the input signals.

Narusawa teaches vertical synchronizing signal detection circuit having a 455 counter 16, a window establishing circuit 14, and a horizontal synchronizing signal compensation circuit 18 for comparing rate of production of the output pulses with the predetermined rate of the input signals (col. 3, lines 18-36 of Narusawa).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the 455 counter 16, the window establishing circuit 14, and a horizontal synchronizing signal compensation circuit 18 of Narusawa into Hebbalalu et al's system in order to accuracy detecting the horizontal synchronizing signal (see col. 1, line 63 to col. 2, line 3 of Narusawa).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Trang U. Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-0090**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John W. Miller**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4795**.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Application/Control Number: 09/905,786  
Art Unit: 2614

Page 10

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

TT TT  
November 1, 2003

  
**MICHAEL H. LEE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**